

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,680	03/10/2006	Jean-Yves Lazennec	0617-1003	8389
466, 7591 YOUNG & THOMPSON 209 Madison Street			EXAMINER	
			SCHILLINGER, ANN M	
Suite 500 ALEXANDRI	IA. VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/541.680 LAZENNEC ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANN SCHILLINGER 3774 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11.12 and 14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11,12 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/541,680 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noiles (US Pat. No. 4,642,123) in view of Subba Rao et al. (US Pub. No. 2001/0051831). Noiles discloses the following: an acetabular implant for hip prosthesis, comprising an insert (14) having a spherical internal cavity (32), and a hemispherical kernel (12) having an internal spherical cavity (21) adapted to cooperate with a femoral head (10) for universal movement of the femoral head within said internal cavity of said kernel, said hemispherical kernel having universal movement within said insert (col. 4, lines 7-13). Noiles also discloses the center of the spherical internal cavity of the insert for universal movement of the hemispherical kernel within said insert being spaced from the center of the internal spherical cavity of the kernel as shown in Figures 1-4. However, Noiles does not disclose the hemispherical kernel being thinner at its central region than its peripheral regions. Subba Rao et al. teaches a hip implant system with this thickness change in Figure 1 and paragraphs 0032-0047 for the purpose of better accommodating the other parts of the prosthesis. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a hemispherical kernel thinner at its central region than its peripheral regions in order to better accommodate the other parts of the prosthesis. Application/Control Number: 10/541,680 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/8/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The motivation provided for the combination of the Noiles and the Subba Rao et al. references is that making the hemispherical kernel thinner at its central region than its peripheral regions will better accommodate the other parts of the prosthesis. The Applicant contends that this combination cannot be made because this principle cannot be applied to the present invention. However, the fact that the Applicant has a hemispherical kernel which is thinner at its central region than its peripheral regions for a different reason, does not alter the conclusion that its use in a prior art device would be *prima facie* obvious from the purpose disclosed in the reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANN SCHILLINGER whose telephone number is (571)272-6652. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 9 a.m. to 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,680 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ann Schillinger/ Examiner, Art Unit 3774

/Corrine M McDermott/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3738